



Received: 20/01/2025

Peer-reviewed: 30/04/2025

Accepted: 30/06/2025

Optimizing Enrolment Management in Higher Education Institutions Through AI Integration and Data Analytics: A Sustainable Resource Management Approach*

Sidi Mohamed El Youssy



Admissions and Outreach Specialist, QFBA-Northumbria University–Qatar

s.elyoussy@qfba.edu.qa

Abstract

This study examines how artificial intelligence and advanced data analytics can reshape enrolment management in higher education institutions across Qatar. By situating administrative practices within the framework of the Sustainable Development Goals—most notably Goal 4, which focuses on quality education, and Goal 12, which promotes responsible consumption and production—the research considers how AI-enabled platforms can make better use of available resources, streamline operations, and support evidence-based decision-making. Drawing on in-depth interviews with twelve professionals from both public and private universities, thematic analysis surfaced four main domains of influence: predictive modelling of enrolment trends, the pursuit of equitable access, gains in administrative efficiency, and pockets of cultural or technological resistance. Overall, the results indicate that AI could help render enrolment systems more transparent, data-driven, and sustainable. The paper wraps up with targeted policy recommendations that consider Qatar’s dynamic educational environment while also offering lessons that may be relevant to other regions and countries.

Keywords: Digital transformation; Data-driven decision making; Resources management; Public-Private partnerships; Higher education

Cite as: El Youssy, S.M. (2025). “Optimizing Enrolment Management in Higher Education Institutions Through AI Integration and Data Analytics: A Sustainable Resource Management Approach.” *The Academic Network for Development Dialogue (ANDD) Paper Series, Third Edition, 2025*. <https://doi.org/10.29117/andd.2025.016>

© 2025, El Youssy, S.M., Published in *The Academic Network for Development Dialogue (ANDD) Paper Series*, by QU Press. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0), which permits non-commercial use of the material, appropriate credit, and indication if changes in the material were made. You can copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format, as well as remix, transform, and build upon the material, provided the original work is properly cited. The full terms of this license may be seen at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>

* This paper is based on the author’s Master’s thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Master of Science in Business Analytics degree at QFBA-Northumbria University, Qatar.



تاريخ القبول: 2025/06/30

تاريخ التحكيم: 2025/04/30

تاريخ الاستلام: 2025/01/20

تحسين إدارة التسجيل في مؤسسات التعليم العالي من خلال دمج الذكاء الاصطناعي وتحليلات البيانات: نهج لإدارة الموارد المستدامة*

سيدى محمد اليوسى 

أخصائي القبول والاستقطاب، جامعة نورثمبريا بالتعاون مع أكاديمية قطر للمال والأعمال-قطر

s.elyoussy@qfba.edu.qa

ملخص

تتناول هذه الدراسة الطرق التي يمكن أن تُحدث بها تقنيات الذكاء الاصطناعي والتحليلات المتقدمة للبيانات تحولاً في إدارة القبول في مؤسسات التعليم العالي في قطر. ومن خلال وضع الممارسات الإدارية في سياق أهداف التنمية المستدامة، وتحديدًا الهدف الرابع المتعلق بجودة التعليم، والهدف الثاني عشر الذي يركز على الاستهلاك والإنتاج المسؤولين، تبحث الدراسة كيف يمكن للمنصات المدعومة بالذكاء الاصطناعي أن تُحسن من استخدام الموارد المتاحة، وتُبسط العمليات، وتُعزز اتخاذ القرارات المبنية على الأدلة. استندت الدراسة إلى مقابلات معمّقة أُجريت مع اثني عشر متخصصاً من جامعات حكومية وخاصة، وكشفت نتائج التحليل الموضوعي عن أربعة محاور رئيسية للتأثير: التنبؤ باتجاهات التسجيل المستقبلية، السعي لتحقيق عدالة الوصول إلى التعليم، تعزيز الكفاءة الإدارية، ومواجهة بعض مظاهر المقاومة الثقافية أو التكنولوجية. بوجه عام، تشير النتائج إلى أن الذكاء الاصطناعي يمكن أن يساهم في جعل أنظمة القبول أكثر شفافية واعتماداً على البيانات واستدامة. وتُختتم الورقة بتوصيات سياسية موجّهة تأخذ في الاعتبار خصوصية البيئة التعليمية المتغيرة في قطر، مع تقديم دروس يمكن الاستفادة منها في مناطق ودول أخرى أيضاً.

الكلمات المفتاحية: التحول الرقمي، اتخاذ القرارات بناءً على البيانات، إدارة الموارد، الشراكات بين القطاعين العام والخاص، التعليم العالي

للاقتباس: اليوسى، سيدى محمد. (2025). "تحسين إدارة التسجيل في مؤسسات التعليم العالي من خلال دمج الذكاء الاصطناعي وتحليلات البيانات: نهج لإدارة الموارد المستدامة". سلسلة الأوراق البحثية للشبكة الأكاديمية للحوار التنموي – النسخة الثالثة، 2025. <https://doi.org/10.29117/andd.2024.016>

© 2025، اليوسى. سلسلة الأوراق البحثية للشبكة الأكاديمية للحوار التنموي، دار نشر جامعة قطر. نُشرت هذه المقالة وفقاً لشروط Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0). تسمح هذه الرخصة بالاستخدام غير التجاري، وتنبغي نسبة العمل إلى صاحبه، مع بيان أي تعديلات عليه. كما تتيح حرية نسخ، وتوزيع، ونقل العمل بأي شكل من الأشكال، أو بأية وسيلة، ومزجه وتحويله والبناء عليه، طالما يُنسب العمل الأصلي إلى المؤلف. <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>

* هذا البحث مستند إلى رسالة علمية قدّمها الباحث استكمالاً لمتطلبات الحصول على درجة ماجستير العلوم في تحليلات الأعمال من جامعة نورثمبريا – أكاديمية قطر للمال والأعمال.

1. Introduction

Like many other higher education institutions (HEIs), those in Qatar face the challenge of rapidly modernizing their applications and enrolment management systems to respond to prospective international students, limited resources, the need for institutional transparency, and equity. Many universities continue to grapple with operational hurdles such as outdated workflows bogged down by legacy systems which repeatedly make the same scheduling errors over and over without any attempt at self-improvement, lack effective data integration across departmental silos for strategic foresight, and multiyear planning aimed towards work that is not the critical path to meeting institution-specific or global development benchmarks like the United Nation's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Applying AI and Big Data offers a glimpse of automated paths forward.

Optimization of Qatari HEIs' enrolment capabilities has become a national priority now that the country can support an emerging knowledge-based economy after investing heavily in its educational infrastructure in the last two decades. Intertwined with the growing international presence of Qatari universities comes rising admission inefficiencies from over dependence on outmoded processes coupled with poor forecasting for actuary demand against institutional limits set by scarce resources like faculty headcount, along with career management industry expectations.

This research examines the impact of AI technologies and data analytics on enrolment management as a means of enhancing sustainable resource distribution, transparency, institutional openness, and equity among students. It is concerned with how Qatari higher education institutions incorporate AI applications into their systems, not just viewed as upgrades, but as essential tools for transformative change aligned with international sustainability goals and regional developmental policies. The study relies on qualitative data from interviews with higher education institution (HEI) administrators in Qatar and makes policy-level recommendations based on facts.

2. Research Objectives and Questions

This study intends to investigate the impact of Artificial Intelligence and data analytics on improving practices within Qatar's Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), focusing on more effective, fair, and sustainable enrolment management processes. The objectives of this study are to:

- Outline the administrative difficulties within the HEIs enrolment system in Qatar.
- Analyze the AI solutions that have been implemented or could be proposed to resolve these issues.
- Investigate HEI administrators' perceptions regarding the advantages and disadvantages of AI integration on administrative functions.

- Evaluate the impact of AI-powered enrolment systems on achieving SDG 4 (Quality Education) and SDG 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production).

3. Research Questions

- What are the most significant issues arising from enrolment management at Qatar's HEIs?
- What is the perception of HEI administrators about AI tools and data analytics for enhancing enrolment workflows?
- In what ways can AI enhance the operational efficiency, openness, sustainability, and responsiveness of higher education institutions?

4. Background and Literature Review

Over the past decade, the role of artificial intelligence in universities has expanded significantly, transitioning from experimental pilots to core operations. Recruitment and enrolment management, once dependent on paper trails and phone calls, are now being streamlined by algorithms that predict trends, guide policy decisions, and inform resource allocation (Fowler, 2023; Jantakun et al., 2021). Modern admissions offices increasingly rely on predictive analytics to forecast application surges, evaluate drop-out risks, and refine student engagement strategies. Jantakun et al. (2021) propose a common AI framework in higher education (AAI-HE) that incorporates machine learning, DSS modules, and integrated data systems to enhance institutional decision-making and campus-wide efficiencies. CRM platforms like Salesforce Education Cloud and Ellucian contribute to operational efficiency by automating outreach and reducing administrative response time, ultimately freeing up staff for more strategic tasks (Langston & Loreto, 2017; Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020).

In many educational institutions, the effective deployment of artificial intelligence tools depends not only on the technology itself but also on how prepared the staff, infrastructure, and organizational culture is to embrace new digital workflows. Gering et al. (2025) found that top universities are actively developing multi-level strategies ranging from institutional digital strategies to department-level initiatives and dedicated committees, highlighting that successful AI integration hinges on institutional readiness, stakeholder engagement, and adaptive organizational responses. Scholars have increasingly highlighted worries about algorithmic bias, transparency deficits, and the ethical oversight of AI models (Miao & Holmes, 2023; Daniel, Pauken, & Rafik, 2025). When enrolment algorithms are trained on skewed historical data, they risk reinforcing existing inequalities—particularly for applicants from marginal or non-traditional backgrounds (Binns, 2018). These challenges have prompted growing calls for formal AI governance frameworks and multidisciplinary oversight mechanisms within higher education institutions (Miao & Holmes, 2023).

The pace of AI adoption in the Gulf and MENA regions remains uneven. As Daradkah et al. (2023) note, while some Arab universities have initiated smart transformations integrating AI into admissions and student services, others still rely on hybrid or manual processes. Similarly, Qatar's higher education sector has yet to fully implement smart enrolment systems despite national digitalization efforts (MoEHE, 2023). When institutions apply artificial intelligence to enrolment management, the implications extend beyond administrative efficiency. AI systems can promote educational inclusion by identifying access bottlenecks, while digitized workflows reduce paperwork and operational waste, aligning with both SDG 4 and SDG 12. As Anshari et al. (2025) emphasize, AI's role in public service delivery—including in education—is becoming increasingly linked to sustainable development outcomes.

Yet these advantages will not blossom on their own unless university leaders, faculty, support staff, and external partners agree on shared priorities, and students feel confident that their data will be handled ethically. Without clear governance frameworks and a strategic institutional approach, AI-powered enrolment systems risk delivering fragmented or limited benefits (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

5. Methodology

To understand how administrators integrate AI and data analytics into enrolment management, this study used qualitative research techniques. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 12 personnel from public and private Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in Qatar who held positions in enrolment, admissions, and education policy.

Participants were chosen using purposive sampling to achieve a suitable range of institutions and expertise. The interviews took place both in person and over Zoom. These sessions were recorded with consent given by the participants, after which they were transcribed word for word. Thematic analysis was used on the data set alongside NVivo software to assist in coding as well as developing categories.

Thematic analysis was done through an inductive approach, where themes were derived directly from the data without external theories being imposed. The application of NVivo allowed for the orderly detection of associations and systematic grouping of associated expressions among participants. This resulted in four major themes, which encapsulated barriers, opportunities, and institutional considerations that were often cited.

As noted, one of the key limitations is generalizability because of the small sample size (12 participants), inviting unique perspectives. Parameters were defined exclusively based on self-reported perceptions, meaning there was no triangulation through surveys or institutional data. A mixed-methods design could further enhance subsequent studies focusing on enlarging population parameters during multi-stage sampling to increase reliability, or using some form of mixed-method design would help bolster

validity, establishing findings during future scholarly work. All participants' identities were kept anonymous to ensure adherence to the ethical guidelines of academic research.

6. Findings: Thematic Analysis

Thematic analysis from twelve interviews pointed out two opportunities and two challenges while integrating AI technology in the enrolment systems of Qatar's higher education institutions (HEIs). As outlined by Braun and Clarke (2021), the responses were processed through NVivo software using a rigorous six-step thematic analysis process. Themes were identified based on their recurrence, importance to enrolment activities, and associated policy considerations.

Theme 1: Operational Inefficiencies and Manual Overload

Terminology like 'fragmented' or 'partly digitized' was used by most participants to describe the current enrolment systems, indicating a lack of interoperability between systems and significant time spent in repetitive manual data entry.

"It's frustratingly slow," said participant 3. "We receive hundreds of applications, and everything is scanned, printed, and sometimes input twice."

These persistent problems exacerbate staff burnout while creating volatility in communication with applicants across multiple stages. An alarming number of institutions reported using Excel sheets as files or disjointed tools that lacked cohesive integration frameworks. Further literature demonstrates that failure to adopt intelligent digital workflows results in unrestrained administrative overhead (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020; Nguyen, Gardner, & Sheridan, 2020).

Theme 2: Predictive Modelling for Enrolment Forecasting

Among all captured insights, using AI for application surge prediction and staffing, as well as program capacity modifications, drew the most interest.

"If we had a system that could learn from past cycles and tell us what to expect, we'd make much smarter planning decisions." - Participant 5

Respondents with growing enrolments reported that most systems fail to identify over-subscription or under-utilization promptly. Institutions worldwide are increasingly leveraging predictive analytics integrated into CRM systems like Salesforce Education Cloud and Ellucian to forecast enrolment trends with high accuracy, sometimes exceeding 80% (Langston & Loreto, 2017; Jantakun et al., 2021). The goal of synchronized enrolment with shifting national workforce requirements, frequently put forth by the Qatar Ministry of Education, also relies on this type of modelling (MoEHE, 2023).

Theme 3: Ethical and Equity Concerns in AI Admissions

Several administrators expressed support for digitization along with concerns about fairness, which touches algorithmic biases, particularly when dealing with applicant scoring or ranking student candidates.

“How a certain system evaluates students is crucial to trust it. What if it works against some profiles?”
- Participant 9.

Research has warned about the risks associated with ‘black-box’ algorithmic decision-making in admissions, particularly in the absence of transparency and human oversight (Daniel, Pauken, & Rafik, 2025; Miao & Holmes, 2023). The worry here stems from the possibility of unregulated AI reproducing human biases if trained on flawed or outdated datasets. Given the AI’s sensitive role in determining admissions, participants emphasized the need for governing bodies and internal review committees to ensure data ethics compliance as well as accountability.

Theme 4: Gaps in Readiness and Resistance from the Institution

An equally recurring theme was an evident cultural resistance to change digitally, mostly among senior staff members. Some reported that there was always a rather concrete opposition to a shift towards AI; as such, it was often thought to be “overly technical,” “a gamble,” or “a threat to jobs.”

“It does seem like we’ve had the tech demoed already, but no one actually uses it. People say: why fix what is working albeit slowly?” - Participant 2

Institutions with limited digital infrastructure and understaffed IT departments face compounded barriers to AI integration. Participants consistently identified the urgent need for structured change management and ongoing digital reskilling. This aligns with global and regional insights that AI implementation depends heavily on institutional culture and leadership support (Daradkah et al., 2023; Fowler, 2023).

7. Policy Recommendations

Building upon the Qatar HEI administrator insights and considering constructive practices in taking higher education towards digital integration, the following strategies are suggested:

7.1 Create Ethical AI Governance Framework

The use of AI within admission processes calls for a defined policy both at the national and institutional levels, particularly concerning how it is implemented. These policies should pay attention to:

- Transparency requirements for determining the logic behind AI decision-making models.

- Regular algorithm transparency as well as equity audits.
- Autonomous human control on final admissions rulings.

This aligns with UNESCO's recent guidance on the responsible use of generative AI in education, which stresses transparency, accountability, and human oversight while supporting SDG 4's emphasis on inclusive and equitable access (Miao & Holmes, 2023).

7.2 Predictive Enrolment Analytics Funding

With regards to tailoring AI tools to specific institutional needs, Qatar's Ministry of Education ought to assist institutions in:

- Anticipating intake by programme and student demographics.
- Aligning infrastructure and personnel to projected enrollment figures, streamlining staffing and resource allocation.
- Spotting early enrollment bottleneck signs.

As noted by Jantakun et al. (2021) and Langston and Loreto (2017), institutions have been able to significantly reduce operational inefficiencies by as much as 30% through improved planning accuracy achieved via predictive enrolment models and integrated AI support systems.

7.3 Create a National Fund for AI & Enrollment Innovations

To address cost barriers and stimulate innovation, a government-sponsored grant scheme may assist institutions to:

- Implement AI solutions designed for the HE context of the Gulf region.
- Localize Arabic software and incorporate regional data models.
- Engage with global education technology companies.

This would serve small private higher education institutions best as they face funding challenges with system-wide enterprise solutions.

7.4 Integrate Digital and AI Competency into Staff Training Programs

Several institutions raised concerns about the adoption of AI tools and reported apprehension towards their use. National higher education policy should mandate:

- Compulsory basic AI literacy for admissions and planning offices.
- Change management training focused on leadership in digital reform for admitted mid-level managers and above.

- Ongoing CPD credits aimed at digital competency advancement.

Cultural resistance to AI systems decreases significantly when staff receive targeted training on the tools' functionality and limitations, fostering confidence and responsible use (Fowler, 2023; Daradkah et al., 2023).

7.5 Foster Sustainable, Green Administration Practices

Aligning these actions with SDG 12 should encourage institutions to adopt:

- Fully electronic submission of applications alongside document evaluation, devoid of physical interaction systems.
- Record maintenance in cloud systems to eliminate duplication of data storage after cross-departmental system integration, which reduces printing, travel, and staff working hours allocation by clocking in physically onsite.

Adoption of paperless enrolment has enabled institutions in Singapore and the UAE to reduce administrative costs by over 40%, aligning with broader sustainability goals (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020; Singh & Blessinger, 2023).

7.6 Establish Collaborative Consortia for Data Sharing Across Institutions

A national consortium of HEIs would be able to:

- Exchange anonymized application data.
- Evaluate forecasting models against one another.
- Identify trends across the entire system (for instance, shifts in demand or dropout hotspots).

Such collaboration would promote fairness in capacity planning across public and private institutions.

8. Limitations and Future Research

Despite the valuable insights this study provides regarding the integration of artificial intelligence and data analytics into enrolment systems at Qatar's higher education institutions, several limitations should be acknowledged in order to place the findings in context and to inform the design of subsequent research.

8.1 Methodological Constraints

The investigation adopted a qualitative framework, conducting semi-structured interviews with

twelve enrolment and policy personnel who were recruited through purposive sampling. Qualitative methods do allow for a rich exploration of individual perceptions and lived experience within a specific institution, yet the relatively small, context-bound participant group restricts the extent to which the conclusions can be generalized beyond the immediate setting. Additionally, the findings are based on self-reported accounts, which are naturally vulnerable to social desirability bias, lapses in memory, and institutional framing, as noted by Maxwell (2021). Because the study did not cross-reference these accounts with quantitative indicators—such as system usage statistics, student retention figures, or external benchmarks—the empirical validation of the administrators’ assertions remains partial. Patton (2015) emphasizes that triangulating self-reports with observable data can substantially enhance the credibility of qualitative work in organizational research, and this study could have benefited from a similar approach.

8.2 Contextual and Temporal Limitations

The educational landscape in Qatar is both wealthy and tightly managed, shaped more by national policy than by market forces. As a result, the lessons drawn from this investigation may not carry over to settings where governance, funding, or institutional norms differ significantly (Daniel, Pauken, & Rafik, 2025). Additionally, the research reflects a snapshot taken amid an accelerating digital shift. Given how swiftly artificial intelligence capabilities are advancing and how national strategies are being revised, the practices seen here are likely to change before long, underscoring the need for follow-up studies that move beyond a single point in time as AI capabilities and governance frameworks continue to evolve rapidly (Miao & Holmes, 2023).

8.3 Areas for Future Research

To emphasize the knowledge acquired here and to clarify its limits, several avenues for subsequent inquiry seem worthwhile:

- Mixed methods that unite qualitative interviews with quantitative surveys, system logs, and performance dashboards would furnish a richer assessment of AI’s operational realities and ethical implications (Fowler, 2023).
- Comparative studies—for example, between the UAE and Saudi Arabia, or across public and private HEIs—can help highlight policy and infrastructural factors that influence the effectiveness of AI implementation across Arab higher education systems (Daradkah et al., 2023).
- Research projects should center the perspectives of students and instructors, probing their concerns about algorithmic fairness, usability, and the trustworthiness of automated systems (Ifenthaler & Yau, 2020).

- Longitudinal case studies that follow AI-powered enrolment platforms over several academic cycles could reveal the hidden hurdles of technical sustainability, adaptive capacity, and lessons learned within the organization (Nguyen et al., 2020).

The state of ethical artificial intelligence in the MENA region continues to lag behind that of other global areas, highlighting an urgent need for in-depth exploration of governance structures. Specifically, researchers and policymakers must turn their attention to the design of comprehensive policy frameworks, effective regulatory instruments, and robust models of institutional oversight that can collectively guide the responsible development and deployment of AI technologies. Absent such systematic investigation, the risk of misalignment between rapidly advancing technical capabilities and societal expectations will only grow. Various stakeholders, including governments, academic institutions, and civil society organizations, are therefore encouraged to collaborate on empirical studies aimed at identifying best practices, understanding local contexts, and formulating adaptive governance solutions that reflect the unique economic, cultural, and political landscapes of the region, in line with recent international guidance on responsible generative AI deployment in education (Miao & Holmes, 2023; Daniel, Pauken, & Rafik, 2025).

9. Conclusion

This paper illustrates how artificial intelligence and advanced data analytics can fundamentally reshape enrolment management across Qatar's universities and colleges. The potential upsides, greater operational efficiency, sharper predictive insights, and more equitable decision processes are broadly recognized, yet they can only be fully harnessed in contexts where institutions have prepared their people, set clear ethical guidelines, and secured an enabling policy backdrop.

Qatar's rapid emergence as a regional education center thus presents a dual-edged sword. By bringing government agencies, institutional leaders, and technology vendors into a coherent partnership, the vision of AI shifts from theory to everyday practices supporting not merely faster workflows but also a fairer, greener, and strategically sound admissions system.

To build on these insights, subsequent inquiries will need to blend qualitative interviews with robust quantitative metrics that track enrolment outcomes once AI tools are live. As the country steers its educational landscape toward the aims set out in Vision 2030 and the Sustainable Development Goals, intelligent enrolment platforms will be key to moving from policy ambition to tangible progress.

References

- Anshari, M., Hamdan, M., Ahmad, N., & Ali, E. (2025). Public service delivery, artificial intelligence and the sustainable development goals: trends, evidence and complexities. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, 16(1), 163–181. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-07-2023-0123>
- Binns, R. (2018). Fairness in machine learning: Lessons from political philosophy. *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 81, 1–11. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v81/binns18a.html>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Daniel, P. T. K., Pauken, P. D., & Rafik, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence: Legal, ethical, and policy implications for higher education. *Journal of Education Finance and Law*, 50(1), 3–30. <https://doi.org/10.5406/30678560.50.1.02>
- Daradkah, A., Alotaibi, T. K., Badarneh, H. A., & Khaled, S. H. (2023). A proposed vision of the transformation of the Arab universities into smart digital universities. *Information Sciences Letters*, 12(1), 761–771. <https://digitalcommons.aaru.edu.jo/isl/vol12/iss9/38>
- Fowler, D. S. (2023). AI in higher education. *Journal of Ethics in Higher Education*, 3(3), 127–143. <https://doi.org/10.26034/fr.jehe.2023.4657>
- Gering Z, Feher K, Harmat V, Tamassy R (2025), “Strategic organisational responses to generative AI-driven digital transformation in leading higher education institutions”. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 33(12), 132–152. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOA-09-2024-4850>
- Ifenthaler, D., Yau, J.YK. Utilising learning analytics to support study success in higher education: a systematic review. *Education Tech Research Dev* 68, 1961–1990 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09788-z>
- Jantakun, T., Jantakun, K., & Jantakoon, T. (2021). A Common Framework for Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education (AAI-HE Mode). *International Education Studies*, 14(11), 94–103. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v14n11p94>
- Langston, R., & Loreto, D. (2017). Seamless integration of predictive analytics and CRM within an undergraduate admissions recruitment and marketing plan. *Strategic Enrollment Management Quarterly*, 4(4), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sem3.20095>
- Maxwell, J. A. (2021). *Qualitative research design: An interactive approach* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Miao, F., Holmes, W., & UNESCO. (2023). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. UNESCO Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.54675/EWZM9535>
- Ministry of Education and Higher Education – Qatar. (2023). *Annual Education Reports*. MoEHE Publications.
- Nguyen, A., Gardner, L., & Sheridan, D. (2020). Data analytics in higher education: An integrated view. *Journal of Information Systems Education*, 31(1), 61–71. <https://aisel.aisnet.org/jise/vol31/iss1/5>
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Singh, A., & Blessinger, P. (2023). Examining the role and challenges of Sustainable Development Goals for the universities in the United Arab Emirates. *Sustainability*, 15(20), 15123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152015123>
- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>